

EVALUATION FORM – INTERNAL COMPETITION CANADA RESEARCH CHAIR – TIER 1 2025

Name of the candidate:

Title of the chair according to the call for nomination:

Name of the reviewer:

☐ New application

☐ Renewal

☐ NSERC

☐ SSHRC

☐ CIHR

EVALUATION CRITERIA		
Quality of the candidate Tier 1 CRC Be outstanding and innovative world-class researchers whose accomplishments have made a major impact in their fields; and be recognized internationally as leaders in their fields.		
<input type="checkbox"/> ST : Satisfy	<input type="checkbox"/> PS : Partially satisfy	<input type="checkbox"/> NS : Do not satisfy
Comments :		
Quality of the research program Tier 1 CRC Propose an original, innovative research program of the highest quality: scientific originality, clarity and scope of objectives, methodology and feasibility, added value and impact of the proposed work, dissemination of results and participation of research users.		
<input type="checkbox"/> ST : Satisfy	<input type="checkbox"/> PS : Partially satisfy	<input type="checkbox"/> NS : Do not satisfy
Comments :		

Training and supervision

Tier 1 CRC

Have superior records of attracting and supervising graduate students and postdoctoral fellows (taking into account different practices in the relevant field or discipline) and, as chairholders, be expected to attract, develop and retain excellent trainees, students and future researchers.

☐ ST : Satisfy

☐ PS : Partially satisfy

☐ NS : Do not satisfy

Comments :

To be completed only if the nomination is a renewal

Renewal

Demonstrate the quality and impact of the research produced during the previous term, including achievement of the objectives stated in the original nomination. The categories to be considered are: quality of the applicant, quality of the research program, involvement of users and dissemination, training strategy, and integration into the INRS strategic research plan.

☐ ST : Satisfy

☐ PS : Partially satisfy

☐ NS : Do not satisfy

Comments :

Ranking:

We ask you to assign a rating to each application to prioritize discussions at the evaluation committee meeting. A preliminary ranking will be made based on the ratings assigned by the members of the evaluation committee.

Among the A, B or C ratings, please rate the application among all the applications.

- A: Excellent application, little modification required before being submitted to the CRC competition
- B: Very good application, would need some modifications or more experience from the applicant before being submitted to the CRC competition
- C: Good application, would require significant modifications before being submitted to the CRC competition, or premature application.

Rating awarded:

Recommendations/comments: